APPENDIX A

CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

APRIL 2009

The Corporate Risk Register identifies those Organisational/ Service Activities that are identified as critical in ensuring the achievement of the Council's key priorities and, in particular:

- to deliver a Watford Health Campus in collaboration with partners
- to create a family friendly town centre
- to deliver a rejuvenated cultural area at the top of the town
- to promote a sustainable local environment
- to ensure cleaner and smarter streets and public spaces
- to support a cohesive and inclusive community
- to increase accessibility to Council services
- to deliver value for money
- to build and develop organisational capacity

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
1	Failure of Business Continuity	Cessation/failure of service Adverse impact on service users Possible loss of income Possible failure to comply with statutory duties Criticism by external bodies Impact on council's reputation	3	4	12	Head of Corporate Services	Some service-based procedures e.g. regular back-up for ICT systems, procedure notes for key systems, experience of dealing with past incidents, including significant water damage at Town Hall, loss of electrical supply. ICT Disaster recovery plan put into effect for real Initial Business Continuity Plan in place. Support from shared HCC resource Business Continuity lead separated from Emergency Planning lead Service-based workshops held + lessons learned from water ingress	3	2	6
Risk Ref	Options for additional/replace	ement control procedures			Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating		
	and with corporate	y Plan being further opriority overlay rep pimes to be establish	ort to Leac		Mainly officer time	3	2	6		

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 2 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
2	HR – poor Recruitment and Retention	Failure to deliver services due to vacancies or poor quality of staff Excess costs due to use of agency staff, repeated advertising	3	3	9	Head of Human Resources (Shared service)	Job Descriptions and Job Evaluation, performance appraisal, some market factor additions, some flexibility in service conditions and use of market supplements. Recruitment interviews supplemented with assessments where appropriate.	2	3	6
Risk Ref	Options for additional/replacer	ment control procedures		Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating			
	Implementation of new Further development	eme being overhaule ew structure to matcl it of workforce plann ach – local event Aut	Project plan in place and underway for completion during 2009	1	3	3				

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 3 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
3	ICT – Lack of availability and/or failure of continuity	Loss of systems Lack of service Loss of productivity – duplication of effort, inability to work Failure to meet deadlines, PIs Cost of recovery Loss of income	3	4	12	Head of ICT (Shared Service)	ICT Disaster Recovery plan Data Back-up arrangements Physical security arrangements for servers Training of ICT staff Replacement of servers and pcs Thin Client and wireless options introduced. Disaster Recovery contractor changed Website hosted remotely ICT staff capable of working from home in case of Town Hall being inaccessible, pandemic.	2	3	6
Risk Ref	Options for additional/replacem	ent control procedures					Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
	Servers to be moved depot will also affect Mutual arrangements	servers at Apsley	Shared services budget to cover Technology in place already – other cost implications to be established as part of business continuity planning.	2	2	4				

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 4 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
4	Serious failure to deliver the Corporate Plan	WBC fails to improve, impact on reputation and credibility, risk of govt supervision, loss of flexibility and some opportunities Lack of capacity to support key projects due to conflicting priorities and lack of project management expertise	3	3	9	Managing Director	Corporate Plan subject to wide consultation and input to ensure is realistic but challenging Performance Management culture in place Review by Cabinet and Scrutiny Quarterly Review by Portfolio Holders Resources targeted to priorities Improved service planning process linked to budgets and risks Leadership Team monitoring as appropriate Partnership template summarises key factors Establishment of Corporate Project function and project management guidance & training	2	2	4
Risk Ref	Options for additional/replacem	ent control procedures					Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
	Ensure all targets are Reinforce use of proj Partnership toolkit be	ect management tec	hniques				Officer time	1	2	2

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 5 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
5	Finance – significant failure of financial administration	Impact on range of services and/or level of Council Tax, loss of reputation, increased external audit costs, resources targeted to recovery rather than improvement	4	3	12	Head of Strategic Finance	Internal controls e.g. Financial procedures, rules and regulations Effective internal audit Budget setting and monitoring VFM awareness and culture Anti-fraud and whistleblowing policies and practices Treasury Management policy Participation in county-wide groups HoSF (S.151 officer) AND Head of Shared Services Finance team on Leadership Team Qualifications and training for finance staff	2	3	6
Risk Ref	Options for additional/replacem	ent control procedures					Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
	Training of non-finan Shared service to implement improvement	prove quality and res ut on Intranet	sts	Corporate training budget – in progress Shared services budget Finance support Finance to lead	1	3	3			

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 6 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
6	Legal – failure of compliance, implementation	Legal costs, damages Impact on reputation Decisions void	3	3	9	Head of Legal & Property Services	Constitution Codes of Conduct Qualified team of legal staff Legal comments on reports Legal involvement in contract Monitoring Officer is Head of Service and is on Leadership Team Lexcel accreditation Legal have internal risk procedures for obtaining outside assistance where no in-house capacity or capability exists	2	3	6
Risk Ref	Options for additional/replacem	nent control procedures					Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
	Broaden range of ski	ills and back-up					Corporate training budget and CPD	1	3	3

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 7 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
7	Risks related to the transfer of the housing stock	Actions taken by Watford Community Housing Trust could result in claims against the Council re asbestos or environmental pollution	2	3	6	Executive Director (Services)	Environmental surveys carried out and potential risk areas identified but no evidence of serious risk. Asbestos survey work done and recorded. Environmental Health officer to keep tracks of adjacent developments as part of intelligence gathering. Insurance cover in place for most properties for 10 years of 22 years liability period Liaison with Trust to provide early warning and scope to mitigate. Asbestos costs only claimable if in excess of agreed figure. HRA surplus on closure approved to fund costs – c.£1m	2	3	6
		Council could be exposed to claims from the WCHT funder in certain circumstances	1	4	4	Executive Director (Services)	Negotiated exposure less than maximum exposure of funder but still substantial. Liaison with Trust provides opportunity to agree recovery plan if necessary. Tenant Services Authority supervision and intervention available.	1	4	4

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 8 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
		Problems with SLA result in costs or service disruption	2	2	4	Executive Director Services	Informal liaison in absence f some formal processes. Contract terms should protect against excess costs in some cases.	2	2	4
Risk Ref	Options for additional/replacem	nent control procedures				Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating	
	Ensure the actions a	dvised by consultant	s IMA are			2	2	4		
	Use intelligence on lo initial term ends.	ocal developments to	inform re	newal of ir	nsura	nce before	Staff time			
	Funding exposure wi	ill decline over time a	ıs WCHT r	but this will		1	4	4		
	Pursue formal monito	oring arrangements v	vith WCH1	y absent	Staff time	1	2	2		

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 9 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catast rophe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
8	Shared Services project fails to deliver expected benefits to quality, resilience and cost	Service quality declines, with impact on public and other services Lack of resilience leads to lack of service. Failure to achieve savings puts value for money at risk Damage to reputation locally and nationally Possible pressure to outsource Capacity diverted to corrective action Failure of staff Recruitment	3	3	9	Executive Director (Resources)	Strategic and Detailed Business cases produced, with involvement of staff and internal customers. Union reps attend Programme Board and other meetings. Staff meetings held, articles in Wat's Up and on website and by e-mail. External validation of business cases and Gateway Review. Involvement of CFOs of both councils. Legal advice taken from in-house team and externally. Dedicated project support team. Implementation funding includes all identified likely costs. Oversight by Joint Committee. Scrutiny by Three Rivers DC.	2	3	6
Risk Ref	Options for additional/replacem	ent control procedures					Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
	Deliver on detailed p	lan for implementation	1				Funding available and support being engaged. Programme in progress.	1	3	3

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 10 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
Ø	Internally driven change leads to disruption e.g. Impact of restructuring, outsourcing,	Impact on staff morale, recruitment and retention Problems supporting full range of necessary skills cost-effectively Forced to provide resources in non-optimal ways Cost of redundancies and consultants	4	3	12	Managing Director	Communication and consultation with staff and unions on broad strategy and detailed arrangements Support from consultants Use of TUPE where possible Some use of joint working with other councils Good track record of managing redundancies and TUPE transfers. IiP achieved for whole council	3	2	6
Risk Ref	Options for additional/replacem	ent control procedures					Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rati
	Develop multi-skilling Use of expert systems t			nagement a	pproa	ch	Training budget To be identified	2	2	4

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 11 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
10	Inability to respond to pressures imposed by external agencies	Impact on staff morale, recruitment and retention Inability to implement local service and policy priorities	3	3	9	Managing Director	Information on direction of government policies Attendance at relevant seminars, professional gatherings Liaison arrangements with key partners e.g. Highways Agency meetings, LSP, Health Campus Service planning identifies issues	2	3	6
Risk Ref	Options for additional/replacem	ent control procedures			Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating		
	Develop internal capa project and risk mana 2008/9 restructure in issues	agement skills	Corporate training	2	2	4				

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 12 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
11	Major service failure impacts on corporate body	Serious harm or loss for individuals or organisations, including the council itself Bad publicity and impact on reputation Impact on recruitment Insurance claims or legal action against the council	3	3	9	All Heads of Service	Policies and procedures Staff experience and training and specialist advice from internal or external sources Risk management Health and Safety processes Physical protection measures Financial controls Experience of Communications team and links with local press Communications Strategy Insurance cover	2	3	6
Risk Ref	Options for additional/replacem	nent control procedures					Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rati
	Ensure operational pand updated as need				egula	rly reviewed		2	2	4

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 13 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
12	Risk of fraud	Financial loss to council or central government Criticism by external auditors if due to inadequate processes Staff time spent on investigations, police liaison, disciplinarians etc.	4	4	16	All Heads of Service	Internal controls, procedure manuals and system controls, including computer security features. Verification procedures in Benefits. Dedicated benefits fraud team using DWP, NFI, telephone hotline and internal data. LAIOG website used. Audit team to investigate other fraud issues Publicity in local press for benefit prosecutions	3	2	6
Risk Ref	Options for additional/replacem	ent control procedures					Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
	Programme to raise fraud awareness in mainstream services						Staff time	3	2	6

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 14 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
13	Impact of recession	Reduced income, increased demand for services, unplanned use of balances and reserves, failure to keep tax rises below inflation Business failure of suppliers/partners (including the supply & maintenance of key business systems)	4	4	16	Leadership Team	2009/10 budget reflects best estimates of effects, with planned use of reserves to minimise offsetting savings Budget monitoring to assist review of out-turn Vigorous procedures to establish financial & operational viability as part of procurement processes	3	3	9
Risk Ref	a product a contract and a product and a contract and a contract and						Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
	Implement Value for Money programme Stricter adherence to approved revenue budgets Prepare contingency savings plan Maintain awareness of positive opportunities and be able to take advantage of them						Staff time – need for funding to be identified	3	2	6

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 15 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
14	Score of 1 in Comprehensiv e Area Assessment	Reputational risk, at extreme coupled with intervention.	2	4	8	Leadership Team	Attendance at workshops to understand new process. External auditors already indicating should get 2 for Use of Resources and will produce gap analysis. Corporate approach adopted to ensure engagement and coordinated input	2	4	8
Risk Ref							Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
	Put programme for improvement in place once outcome received.						Staff time	1	4	4

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 16 of 17

Risk Ref	Risk Title/ Description	Consequence	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. Unlikely	Impact 4=Catastro phe 1=H. Unlikely	Risk Rating	Responsibility	Existing Control Procedures	Likelihood 4=Cat 1= H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
15	Poor quality of data	Poor decisions made, based on inaccurate or insufficient information. Funding bids and grant claims jeopardised Disproportionate impact on Use of Resources and CAA scores.	3	4	12	All Heads of Service	Processes in place re National Indicators, and financial reconcilations. NIs reviewed by Internal Audit Information Security Policies in place Data sharing protocol Secure transmission procedures available to officers Review of reports by Leadership Team members Information officer role in data security etc in new structure	2	3	6
Risk Ref	Options for additional/replacem	nent control procedures					Cost/Resources	Likelihood 4=Cat 1=H. U	Impact 4=Cat 1=H. U	Risk Rating
	Reinstatement of quality checks in Benefits Implementation of Government Connect protocol for DWP data sharing Training programme for Information Security Risk Management Group to identify operational Information Security issues to be rolled out						Staff time In progress for 31/3/09 deadline To be developed – staff time Sub-group considering	2	3	6

Version 7 - 20/4/09 Page 17 of 17